

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/668,610	ELLISON ET AL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kaveh Abrishamkar	2131	

All Participants:

(1) Kaveh Abrishamkar.

(2) Michael Barre (Reg. No. 44,023).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 3 January 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

102 Rejections based on England (U.S. Patent 6,327,652).

Claims discussed:

2, 14, 26, and 38

Prior art documents discussed:

England (U.S. Patent 6,327,652)


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Applicant was advised that claims 2,14,26, and 38, were found to be allowable over the prior art based on the Arguments filed on October 13, 2005, and that if written in an independent form, they would be in condition for allowance if a final search did not produce any prior art. The Applicant agreed to file a supplemental amendment amending the independent claims 1,13,25, and 37 to incorporate claims 2,14,26, and 38. .